



Laura Figueroa Dept. Computer Science Florida International University LFigu042@fiu.edu

Abstract

Technological enhancements for mobility aids such as smart walkers have improved throughout the years. However, individuals who have both visual and mobile impairments are not covered in these advancements. We designed a smart walker that reacts and informs about detected obstacles on the user's path. The user will be alerted to change the path to avoid collisions through a haptic feedback device on the walker's handles.



Figure 1. Data Collection Environment.

Goals

- Design an approach suitable for an indoor environment
- Implement haptic feedback alerts to avoid obstacles in path
- Create an inexpensive solution



Background

- This project improves on the previous prototypes [1-3]
- Raspberry Pi 3i model B is a small and affordable computer. This portable and energy-efficient computer will power and stream the USB camera mounted on the walker
- The PlayStation Eye is a digital camera device with usb connectivity. Captures video at 60 hertz at a 640x480 res
- EfficientDet-D5 is an object detection/classification model that achieved state-of-the-art 55.1 mAP on COCO test-dev [4]

IoT-Enabled Smart Mobility Device for Aging and Rehabilitation

Myat Win

Dept. Computer Science University of North Carolina at Charlotte MWin@uncc.edu

Furkan Çimen & Safa Bacanlı Dept. Computer Science University of Central Florida {furkan,bacanli}@knights.ucf.edu

Methodology

Figure 2. Walker with camera & RaspberryPi.

Data Collection

- Hall with obstacles such as tables, chairs, and people, simulating a busy environment (see Figure 1)
- We recorded 3 data sets with total of 1,933 objects

Testing Variables

The input data includes the coordinates of the object ['y_max', 'x_min' and the confidence value. Each object is centered at (0,0) using the formula

 $f = (y_{max} - x_{min})/2$

para ['y_max', 'x_min'

'class_number']

['y_max', 'x_min' 'class_number', ['f1', 'f2']

['f1', 'f2', 'class_r ['f1', 'f2', 'class_r

'confidence']

Testing Model

- Training various machine-learning models to predict distance given the best set of parameters
- Each objects' actual distance is manually measured
- Dataset: 1,639 objects with filter distance ≤ 250 "
- Condition: 20/80 split test, polynomial degree = 3, KNN n = 10

Results





[1] C. Feltner, J. Guilbe, S. Zehtabian, S. Khodadadeh, L. Bölöni, and D. Turgut, Smart walker for the visually impaired, Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC 2019), May 2019. [2] N. Mostofa, K. Fullin, S. Zehtabian, S. S. Bacanlı, L. Bölöni, and D. Turgut, IoT-enabled smart mobilitation, Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC 2020), June 2020. [3] N. Mostofa, C. Feltner, K. Fullin, J. Guilbe, S. Zehtabian, S. S. Bacanlı, L. Bölöni, and D. Turgut, A Smart Walker for People with Both Visual and Mobility Impairment, Sensors, 21(10), May 2021. [4] M. Tan, R. Pang and Q. V. Le, EfficientDet: Scalable and Efficient Object Detection, Proc. of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR 2020), June 2020.

Ladislau Bölöni & Damla Turgut Dept. Computer Science University of Central Florida {Ladislau.Boloni, Damla.Turgut}@ucf.edu

ameters	RMSE	r2	MAE
', 'y_min', 'x_max']	31.82	0.77	19.06
', 'y_min', 'x_max',	31.78	0.76	18.42
', 'y_min', 'x_max', confidence]	29.52	0.79	17.02
	35.72	0.71	21.86
number']	33.16	0.75	20.00
number',	29.55	0.80	17.21

MAE score

Figure 5. MAE scores (lower better).

Avoiding Obstacles

- under y > -1.5x + 960 and y > 1.5x
- appears in, as shown in Figures 7, 8 and 9

Process

- the EfficientDet-D5 model
- avoidance algorithm
- User receives haptic feedback



Figure 7. Obstacle in left zone; left handle vibrates.

Conclusions and Future Work

- the direction of the detected obstacle.
- Regression
- Future directions include:

 - frame rates
 - better handling corner cases



The support for this work was provided by the National Science Foundation REU program under Award No. 1852002. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions and recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Object Avoidance

• Closest objects to user will be tested to see which margin it falls • Haptic sensors will vibrate according to the region the obstacle

• Camera begins video live-stream to external server

• Detected objects' label, coordinates, and certainty are obtained by

• Our model predicts the distance of the objects

• The objects in danger zone are determined through obstacle



Figure 8. Obstacle in center zone; both handles vibrate.



Figure 9. Obstacle in right zone; right handle vibrates.

• The Smart Walker is able to successfully instruct the user about

• The best performing machine learning model was Random Forest

• improving the accuracy of object detection • improving the speed of object detection to allow for higher

Acknowledgement